

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,300	08/22/2003	Hani Fares	LOREAL 3.0-039/OA03326	9219
530 LERNER, DA	7590 02/23/2007 VID, LITTENBERG,		EXAMINER	
KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			WILLIAMS, LEONARD M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	ONTHS	02/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Commence	10/646,300	FARES ET AL.	FARES ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Leonard M. Williams	1617		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ac	idress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON 36(a) cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this c BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
	 action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		ters, prosecution as to the	e merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	·	•		
Disposition of Claims		•		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-40</u> is/are pending in the application	٦.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) 39 and 40 is/are with		•		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•	•	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-38</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.			
Application Papers		·		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).	
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P	TO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		Application No.		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			Stage	
application from the International Bureau	•			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.		
Attachment(s)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	<i>i</i> —	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application		

Detailed Action

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/01/2006 has been entered.

Response to Amendment/Arguments

The amendment filed 12/01/2006 amending claims 24 and 25 to fix a typographical error and adding claims 39 and 40 has been entered. Claims 16-38 are currently pending.

Newly submitted claims 39 and 40 are directed to inventions that are independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: In the original examination of the application, the examiner allowed for one composition, one method of making said composition, and one method of using said composition.

Claims 39 and 40 are drawn to two new and distinct methods of using the said composition, as such if these claims were originally presented they would have been

Art Unit: 1617

restricted as being outside the one composition, one method of making and one method of using original claim set.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 39-40 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Applicant's arguments filed 12/01/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant's have reiterated their arguments from the previous office action. The applicant's assert that the examiner has no motivation to combine the references as presented. The examiner points the applicant's to the office action of 6/30/2005 where the examiner clearly stated the reasons for the 103(a) rejection. The applicant's assert that unexpected results have been achieved and provide a declaration which was discussed at length with the applicants in the interview of 11/10/2005, the examiner again sets forth that the declaration was not sufficient to overcome the 103(a) rejection as it merely set forth the reasoning why the applicant's chose to use the pentylene glycol. The examiner clearly set forth a prima facie case of obviousness which had a different but equally valid reasoning and motivation why pentylene glycol would be used in the office action of 6/30/2005.

Response to Amendment

Art Unit: 1617

The declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed 04/01/2005 is insufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 1-38 based upon Castro et al., Cooper et al. (U.S. Patent No: 4552872), Quigley et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6075056), and Vollhardt (U.S. Patent No. 6274124) as set forth in the last Office action because: The declaration entered In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, while setting forth the reasoning as to why the applicant chose to use pentylene glycol and the effects noticed with the concurrent use of pentylene glycol with hydrocortisone, the applicant did not set forth an argument as to why the pentylene glycol/hydrocortisone combination is patentable. The examiner points out in Castro et al. that it is known in the cosmetic arts to use hydrocortisone (and other active ingredients) in cosmetic formulations and that pentylene glycol is a common cosmetic formulation ingredient, additionally Castro et al. clearly demonstrates that the pentylene glycol can be used in the formation of a variety of cosmetic compositions (specifically a mousse composition) with various other ingredients including additional alkyl glycols and solvents. Cooper et al. was used to reinforce that the hydrocortisone compounds-hydrocortisone acetate and triamcinolone acetate, while generally taught in Castro et al., can readily be formulated into topical

Art Unit: 1617

compositions in the presecence of alkyl glycols. Quigley et al. was simply used to demonstrate that the alkyl glycols and hydrocortisone compounds could be formulated in a variety of embodiments. Vollhardt et al. demonstrated that there were reasons to specifically use pentylene glycol in topical formulations as it imparted improved water resistance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 16-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Castro et al. (US Patent No. 6113888), in view of Cooper et al. (US Patent No. 4552872), in view of Quigley et al. (US Patent No. 6075056), and further in view of VollHardt et al. (US Patent No. 6274124).

Art Unit: 1617

Castro et al. teach, in col. 2 lines 35-55 and claim 21, a mousse composition for topical application that includes 0.001% to about 20% of 1,2-pentanediol and 0.001% to about 20% of 2-methyl-1,3-propanediol, in col. 4 line 60 to col. 5 line 15, Castro et al. teach dermatologically active agents that can be added to the said mousse compositions as including hydrocortisone, dexamethasone, panthenol, phenol, betamethasone, and triamcinolone.

Castro et al. teach, in col. 5 lines 48-55, examples of humectants that can be used in the compositions including glycols such as 2-methyl-1,3-propanediol, 1,2-pentanediol, hexylene glycol, and propylene glycol.

Castro et al. does not teach hydrocortisone acetate and triamcinolone acetate and their respective percentages in the compositions, nor does Castro et al. teach butylene glycol as a solvent or that butylene glycol and propylene glycol can be used together.

Cooper et al. teach, in col. 8 lines 55-63, diol compounds for use in topical pharmaceutical corticosteroid compositions including 1,2-propanediol, 1,3-propanediol, 1,2-butanediol, 1,3-butanediol, 1,4-butanediol or mixtures of these diols.

Cooper et al. teach, in col. 8 lines 10-50, corticosteroids for use in the topical pharmaceutical compositions including hydrocortisone, hydrocortisone butyrate, hydrocortisone acetate, triamcinolone, and triamcinolone acetonide. The compositions are to contain a safe and effective amount of corticosteroid from about 0.01% to about 10%, more preferably from about 0.02% to about 5%, and most preferably from about 0.05% to about 5% of corticosteroid. In examples 1-31 Cooper et al. disclose a variety

Art Unit: 1617

of topical pharmaceutical compositions containing various corticosteroids and diols and that the compositions show enhanced penetration of the corticosteroids when applied topically.

Quigley et al., in col. 7 lines 30-65 and Table A, teach topical formulations that may be in the form of creams, ointments, gels, lotions, foams, powders, shampoos and/or liquid solutions comprising a steroid (0.01-2.5% by weight) and propylene glycol (5-20% by weight), wherein the steroid can be triamcinolone acetate.

Vollhardt teaches, in col. 3 lines 25-45, cosmetic and/or dermatological formulations comprising 1,2-pentanediol and at least one cosmetic or dermatological active agent in a cosmetically and/or pharmaceutically acceptable carrier for topical application to the skin. Vollhardt details that 1,2-pentanediol should preferably represent 0.5% to 6% by weight of the composition, and that 1,2-pentanediol gives improved water resistance to the compositions as compared to 1,2-propanediol and 1,2-hexanediol.

Vollhardt teaches, in col. 3 lines 50-65, that the cosmetic and/or dermatological formulations can be in the form of an emulsion, thin lotion, creamy lotion, light cream, gel, and mousse formulations.

Vollhardt teaches, in col. 4 line 50 to col. 5 line 5, that the cosmetic and/or dermatologically active agents include steroidal anti-inflammatory agents such as hydrocortisone, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents, anti-microbial agents and fragrances.

Art Unit: 1617

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Castro et al. with Cooper et al. in view of Vollhardt.

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to combine Castro et al. with Cooper et al. in view of Quigley et al. and Vollhardt because Castro et al. discloses topical compositions comprising 1,2pentanediol, an additional glycol (2-methyl-1,3-propanediol), and a dermatologically active agent (which could be hydrocortisone or triamcinolone). Cooper et al. discloses topical pharmaceutical corticosteroid compositions including 1,2-propanediol, 1,3propanediol, 1,2-butanediol, 1,3-butanediol, 1,4-butanediol or mixtures of these diols. Cooper et al. discloses that the topical pharmaceutical corticosteroids used in the compositions include hydrocortisone, hydrocortisone butyrate, hydrocortisone acetate, triamcinolone, and triamcinolone acetonide. Quigley et al. teach topical formulations of triamcinolone acetate and propylene glycol. Vollhardt teaches cosmetic and/or dermatological formulations comprising 1,2-pentanediol and at least one cosmetic or dermatological active agent in a cosmetically and/or pharmaceutically acceptable carrier for topical application to the skin. Vollhardt teaches that the cosmetic or dermatologically active agent can be a steroidal anti-inflammatory such as hydrocortisone. Vollhardt also discloses that 1,2-pentanediol confers greater water resistance to compositions. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that 1,2-pentanediol could be used in the topical pharmaceutical corticosteroid compositions of Cooper et al., in view of Vollhardt, as Castro et al. demonstrated that 1,2-pentanediol could be combined with another diol

Art Unit: 1617

(propylene glycol or butylenes glycol or both) and that Castro et al., Cooper et al. and Vollhardt's compositions all contain the same dermatologically active agents (steroidal anti-inflammatories). Quigley et al. demonstrate that triamcinolone acetate is an acceptable steroidal anti-inflammatory for glycol formulations. The increased water resistance properties of 1,2-pentanediol containing compositions would motivate one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the compositions. A reasonable chance of success would be expected as the compositions demonstrate that 1,2-pentanediol can be combined with additional diols and all the compositions detailed include steroidal antiinflammatory agents exemplified by hydrocortisone.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonard M. Williams whose telephone number is 571-272-0685. The examiner can normally be reached on MF 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1617

Page 10

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LMW

Speeni Padmanabhan Supefnisory patent examiner